## **CEB Report Risk Register**

Risk Score Impact Score:1=Insignificant; 2=Minor; 3=Moderate; 4= Major; 5=Catastrophic Certain

Probability Score: 1=Rare; 2=Unlikely; 3=Possible; 4=Likely; 5=Almost

No.	Risk Description Link to Corporate Obj.	Gross Risk		Cause of Risk	Mitigation	Net Risk		Further Management of Risk: Transfer/Accept/Reduce/Avoid		Monitoring Effectiveness				Current Risk	
1	Grant funding awarded is not spent on the activity or items it was awarded for. (Stronger & more Inclusive Communities)	1 2	P 1	Terms & conditions for grant awarded not clear.	Mitigating Control: Award letter & terms & conditions are clear and where necessary itemised.  Monitoring forms  Level of Effectiveness: Medium because information may not be returned	1	P 2	Action: Reduce  Action Owner: Julia Tomkins  Mitigating Control: Keep check list and close monitoring  Report through Community & Partnership Scrutiny  Control Owner: Julia Tomkins	Outcome Required:  Clear and detailed terms and conditions signed by each organisation or group awarded a grant.  All monitoring forms returned  Milestone Date: on going	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	I	P
2.	Risk to reputation (Stronger & more Inclusive Communities)	3	3	Declined application s unhappy with decision	Give clear reasoning as to why application was declined.	3	2	Action: Fair and transparent grants process evaluating each application against set criteria-Nov 2010  Recommendations circulated to Area Committees and S&PC – Jan/Feb 2011  Action Owner: Julia Tomkins  Mitigating Control: Work closing with media & communications team Feb/Mar 2011  Control owner: Julia Tomkins	Lessen impact of risk to reputation.						